



# KERALA GAZETTE

## കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. LII വാല്യം 52	THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ	10th July 2007 2007 ജൂലൈ 10 19th Ashadha 1929 1929 ആഷാഢം 19	No. നമ്പർ	28
-----------------------	---	--	--------------	----

## PART I

### Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

#### Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A) ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1415/2007/LBR.  
*Thiruvananthapuram, 21st May 2007.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the General Manager, Empee Distilleries, Kanjikode and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri Rajaneesh, s/o Govindaswamy, K. Putoor, Kanjikode, Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order.

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

"Whether the dismissal of Sri G. Rajaneesh Driver, by the management of Empee Distilleries, Kanjikode is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?"

(2)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1416/2007/LBR.  
*Thiruvananthapuram, 21st May 2007.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Radha, Owner, Sreekrishna Auto Fuels, Olavakkod, Palakkad and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri B. Suresh, s/o Balakrishnan, Anthirathody Veedu, Puthuppariyaram P. O., Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial disputes for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

"Whether the denial of employment to Sri Suresh, Casual Worker of Sreekrishna Auto Fuels, Olavakkod is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?"

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1417/2007/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 21st May 2007.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Partner, Supreme Financiers and Kuries, P. O. Chenthrappinni, Thrissur District and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri Karthikeyan, s/o Puthayadathu Velayudhan, P. O. Kadhilam Valappad, Thrissur District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

"Whether the dismissal from service of Sri Karthikeyan, Collection boy by the Management of M/s Supreme Financiers Kuries is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to get?"

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1418/2007/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 21st May 2007.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Halleyburia Tea Estate Ltd. Seminivally Estate, Elappara P. O., Idukki District and the workman, of the above referred establishment Sri Sathyan, V. T., C. R. No. 938, Watcher, Seminivally Estate, Vazhaikkalayil House, Chinnar Estate, Chinnar P. O., Elappara via, in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

"Whether the denial of Watcher Job to Sri Sathyan, C. R. No. 938 by the Management of Seminivally Estate is justifiable? If not, what are the relief he is entitled to?"

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1419/2007/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 21st May 2007.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Chief Executive, Suntec Tub India (Ltd.) Kolazhy, Peringavu P. O., Thrissur District and the workmen of the above

referred establishment represented by Sri Jose Chalisery, President, Thrissur District Tyre Tube Tread Rubber Manufacturing Workers Union, Southern Shopping Complex, Veliyannur, Thrissur-21 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to 11 workers viz. Sri A. K. Divakaran, Sri K. R. Radhakrishnan, Sri K. Suresh Babu, Sri I. K. Balasubramanyan, Sri K. M. Venugopalan, Sri P. S. Narayanan, Sri P. A. Ramnathan, Sri E. Krishnakutty, Sri K. G. Haridas, Sri K. M. Kannan, and Smt. P. V. Santh with effect from 1-7-2003 and subsequently closing down of Suntech Tubes India Ltd. by the Management are justifiable? If not, what relief they are entitled to get?

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1420/2007/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 21st May 2007.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager Harrison's Malayalam Ltd., Wallardie Estate, Vandiperiyar P. O., and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Highrange Plantation Employees Union (INTUC), Regn. No. 46/68, Peermade P. O. in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal from service of Sri Rajendran, C. R. No. 2866 by the management of Wallardie Estate is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

By order of the Governor,  
SUBY EAPEN,  
Under Secretary to Government.